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1. Introduction

This work is motivated by applications of the theory of uniform distribution modulo 1 to numerical analysis. The applications of low-discrepancy sequences to quasi-Monte Carlo methods for multidimensional numerical integration are classical and well known; see [7], [8], [12], [13] for accounts of the classical theory and [15] for a more recent survey. The stochastic counterparts of quasi-Monte Carlo methods, namely Monte Carlo methods, work with sequences of pseudorandom numbers. It was an idea of Spanier [22] to combine the advantages of quasi-Monte Carlo methods (faster convergence) and Monte Carlo methods (statistical error estimation) by using so-called hybrid sequences. A hybrid sequence is a sequence of points in a (usually high-dimensional) unit cube that is obtained by “mixing” a low-discrepancy sequence and a sequence of pseudorandom numbers (or vectors), in the sense that certain coordinates of the points stem from the low-discrepancy sequence and the remaining coordinates stem from the sequence of pseudorandom numbers (or vectors).
The Koksma-Hlawka inequality (see [6], [9, Section 2.5]) provides an error bound for numerical integration in terms of the discrepancy of the integration nodes. It is therefore an important issue for the numerical practice to establish discrepancy bounds for hybrid sequences. Probabilistic results on the discrepancy of hybrid sequences were shown in [5], [19], [20]. The first deterministic discrepancy bounds for various types of hybrid sequences were proved in [16] and in the follow-up paper [17].

In the present paper, we establish a deterministic discrepancy bound for a type of hybrid sequence of practical interest that was not considered previously. In detail, we study hybrid sequences obtained by “mixing” Kronecker sequences and sequences of digital explicit inversive pseudorandom numbers (or digital explicit inversive sequences, for short). In Section 2 we recall the construction of the latter sequences. Some auxiliary results are collected in Section 3. The main result, namely a deterministic discrepancy bound for hybrid sequences composed of Kronecker sequences and digital explicit inversive sequences, is presented in Section 4.

2. Digital explicit inversive sequences

We describe the digital explicit inversive sequences introduced by Niederreiter and Winterhof [18]. Let $q = p^k$ with a prime number $p$ and an integer $k \geq 1$. Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ denote the finite field of order $q$ and let $\{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k\}$ be an ordered basis of $\mathbb{F}_q$ as a vector space over its prime subfield $\mathbb{F}_p$. Define $\xi_n \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $n = 0, 1, \ldots$, by

$$
\xi_n := \sum_{l=1}^{k} n_l \beta_l
$$

if

$$
n \equiv \sum_{l=1}^{k} n_l p^{l-1} \pmod q \quad \text{with } n_l \in \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ for } 1 \leq l \leq k,
$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_p = \{0, 1, \ldots, p - 1\}$ is the least residue system modulo $p$. For $\varrho \in \mathbb{F}_q$, we put $\overline{\varrho} := \varrho^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ if $\varrho \neq 0$ and $\overline{\varrho} := 0 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ if $\varrho = 0$. Now we choose $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Then we define

$$
\gamma_n := \overline{\alpha} \xi_n + \delta \in \mathbb{F}_q \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, \ldots
$$
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Note that the sequence $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots$ is periodic with least period $q$. Next we identify $\mathbb{F}_p$ with $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and we write

$$\gamma_n = \sum_{l=1}^{k} c_{n,l} \beta_l$$

for $n = 0, 1, \ldots$ (2)

with all $c_{n,l} \in \mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then a digital explicit inversive sequence is defined by

$$z_n := \sum_{l=1}^{k} c_{n,l} p^{-l} \in [0, 1)$$

for $n = 0, 1, \ldots$ (3)

It is clear that the sequence $z_0, z_1, \ldots$ is periodic with least period $q$. In the special case $k = 1$ we obtain an explicit inversive congruential sequence as introduced by Eichenauer-Herrmann [4] and further studied in [14]. Because of its periodicity, it is meaningful to consider only the first $N \leq q$ terms of a digital explicit inversive sequence.

3. Auxiliary results

First of all, we recall the definition of discrepancy. For an arbitrary dimension $m \geq 1$, let $[0, 1)^m$ be the $m$-dimensional half-open unit cube. Given $N$ points $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{N-1} \in [0, 1)^m$ and a subinterval $J$ of $[0, 1)^m$, we write $A(J; N)$ for the number of integers $n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N - 1$ such that $x_n \in J$. Then the discrepancy $D_N$ of these points is defined by

$$D_N := \sup_J \left| \frac{A(J; N)}{N} - \lambda_m(J) \right|,$$

where the supremum is extended over all half-open subintervals $J$ of $[0, 1)^m$ and $\lambda_m$ denotes the $m$-dimensional Lebesgue measure.

Next we recall the Erdős-Turán-Koksma inequality for hybrid sequences which was shown in [17]. For integers $s \geq 1$ and $t \geq 1$, we consider points $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{N-1} \in [0, 1)^{s+t}$ which we write in the form $x_n = (y_n, z_n)$ with $y_n \in [0, 1)^s$ and $z_n \in [0, 1)^t$ for $0 \leq n \leq N - 1$. The points $y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{N-1}$ are arbitrary. The points $z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_{N-1}$ are such that all their coordinates are rational numbers with denominator $b^k$, where $b \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$ are fixed integers. The version of the Erdős-Turán-Koksma inequality in [17] provides an upper bound on the discrepancy of the points $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{N-1}$ in terms of exponential sums.

Before we can state this inequality, we need further notation. With $b \geq 2$ as above, we use the complete residue system modulo $b$ given by

$$C(b) := (-b/2, b/2] \cap \mathbb{Z}.$$
For \( k \geq 1 \) as above, let \( C(b)^k \) be the set of \( k \)-tuples of elements of \( C(b) \). For 
\[
(h_1, \ldots, h_k) \in C(b)^k,
\]
we put
\[
Q_b(h_1, \ldots, h_k) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (h_1, \ldots, h_k) = 0, \\ b^{-d} \csc(\pi |h_d|/b) & \text{if } (h_1, \ldots, h_k) \neq 0,
\end{cases}
\]
where \( d = d(h_1, \ldots, h_k) \) is the largest \( l \) with \( h_l \neq 0 \). We write \( C(b)^{t \times k} \) for the set of \( t \times k \) matrices with entries from \( C(b) \). For \( H = (h_{j,l}) \in C(b)^{t \times k} \), we define
\[
W_b(H) := \prod_{j=1}^{t} Q_b(h_{j,1}, \ldots, h_{j,k}).
\]

Let again \( H = (h_{j,l}) \in C(b)^{t \times k} \) and let \( z = (z^{(1)}, \ldots, z^{(t)}) \in [0,1)^t \) be a point for which each coordinate is a rational number with fixed denominator \( b^k \). For each \( j = 1, \ldots, t \), we have a unique representation
\[
z^{(j)} = \sum_{l=1}^{k} w^{(j)}_l b^{-l} \quad \text{with all } w^{(j)}_l \in \{0,1,\ldots,b-1\}.
\]
Then we define
\[
H \otimes z := \sum_{j=1}^{t} \sum_{l=1}^{k} h_{j,l} w^{(j)}_l.
\]
This operation depends of course on the base \( b \), but for the sake of simplicity we suppress this dependence in the notation. The value of \( b \) will always be clear from the context.

For any \( h = (h_1, \ldots, h_t) \in \mathbb{Z}^t \), we put
\[
M(h) := \max_{1 \leq j \leq t} |h_j|, \quad r(h) := \prod_{j=1}^{t} \max(|h_j|,1).
\]
We write \( e(u) = e^{2\pi i u} \) for \( u \in \mathbb{R} \). We also use the convention that the parameters on which the implied constant in a Landau symbol \( O \) depends are written in the subscript of \( O \). A symbol \( O \) without a subscript indicates an absolute implied constant. Now we can state the version of the Erdős-Turán-Koksma inequality in [17].

**Lemma 1.** Let \( b \geq 2, \ k \geq 1, \ s \geq 1, \) and \( t \geq 1 \) be integers. Let the points \( x_n = (y_n, z_n) \in [0,1)^{s+t}, \ n = 0,1,\ldots,N-1, \) be as above and let \( D_N \) be their discrepancy. Then for any integer \( H \geq 1 \) we have
\[
D_N = O_{s,t} \left( \frac{1}{b^k} + \frac{1}{H} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^s, H \in C(b)^{t \times k}} W_b(H) \frac{1}{r(h)} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e \left( h \cdot y_n + \frac{1}{b} H \otimes z_n \right) \right),
\]
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where \( M(h) \) and \( r(h) \) are as in \( \square \) and \( W(h) \) is given by \( \square \) and \( \square \). The asterisk signifies that the pair \( (h, \mathcal{H}) = (0,0) \) is omitted from the range of summation.

The following lemma was shown in \( \square \). We again use the notation in \( \square \). We write also \( \|u\| = \min \{\{u\}, 1 - \{u\}\} \) for the distance from \( u \in \mathbb{R} \) to the nearest integer. Note that if \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s) \in \mathbb{R}^s \) is such that \( 1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s \) are linearly independent over \( \mathbb{Q} \), then \( \|h \cdot \alpha\| > 0 \) for all \( h \in \mathbb{Z}^s \setminus \{0\} \).

**Lemma 2.** Let \( s \) be an arbitrary positive integer and let \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^s \) be such that there exist real numbers \( \sigma \geq 1 \) and \( c > 0 \) with

\[
r(h)^\sigma \|h \cdot \alpha\| \geq c \quad \text{for all } h \in \mathbb{Z}^s \setminus \{0\}.
\]

Then for any integers \( H \geq 1 \) and \( N \geq 1 \) we have

\[
\sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^s, \nu_h = H} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(n(h \cdot \alpha)) \right| = O_{\alpha, \varepsilon}(H^{(\sigma - 1)s + \varepsilon}) \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0.
\]

The following is a standard definition regarding the simultaneous diophantine approximation character of \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^s \) (see e.g. \( \square \) Definition 6.1).

**Definition 1.** Let \( \eta \) be a real number. Then \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^s \) is of finite type \( \eta \) if \( \eta \) is the infimum of all real numbers \( \sigma \) for which there exists a positive constant \( c = c(\sigma, \alpha) \) such that

\[
r(h)^\sigma \|h \cdot \alpha\| \geq c \quad \text{for all } h \in \mathbb{Z}^s \setminus \{0\}.
\]

**Remark 1.** As noted in \( \square \) p. 164, it is an easy consequence of Minkowski’s linear forms theorem that we always have \( \eta \geq 1 \). Well-known examples of points \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^s \) of finite type \( \eta = 1 \) are the following: (i) \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s) \) with real algebraic numbers \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s \) such that \( 1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s \) are linearly independent over \( \mathbb{Q} \) (see \( \square \)); (ii) \( \alpha = (e^{r_1}, \ldots, e^{r_s}) \) with distinct nonzero rational numbers \( r_1, \ldots, r_s \) (see \( \square \)).

Next we state a bound on character sums which is shown in the proof of \( \square \) Theorem 1).

**Lemma 3.** Let \( q = p^k \) with a prime \( p \) and an integer \( k \geq 1 \) and let \( \chi \) be the canonical additive character of the finite field \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Let \( \gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots, \) be the sequence defined by \( \square \). Then for any integers \( 0 \leq f_1 < f_2 < \cdots < f_m < q \), for any \( \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_m \in \mathbb{F}_q \) not all 0, and for \( 1 \leq N \leq q \), we have

\[
\left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \chi \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i \gamma_{n+f_i} \right) \right| = O_m \left( 2^{(k-1)m+k} q^{1/2} (1 + \log p)^k \right).
\]
4. The main result

Let \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s) \in \mathbb{R}^s \) for an arbitrary dimension \( s \geq 1 \). The corresponding Kronecker sequence is the sequence \( \{n\alpha\} \), \( n = 0, 1, \ldots \), of fractional parts. It is uniformly distributed if and only if \( 1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s \) are linearly independent over \( \mathbb{Q} \) (see [3, Theorem 1.76]). If \( \alpha \) is of small finite type \( \eta \), then the Kronecker sequence has a small discrepancy (see [9, p. 132, Exercise 3.17] and [16, Lemma 6]).

Let \( z_0, z_1, \ldots \) be a digital explicit inversive sequence as defined in (3). Let \( q = p^k \) be as in Section 2. For a given integer \( t \) with \( 1 \leq t \leq q \), we choose integers \( 0 \leq d_1 < d_2 < \cdots < d_t < q \). Now we consider the hybrid sequence

\[
\mathbf{x}_n = (\{n\alpha\}, z_{n+d_1}, \ldots, z_{n+d_t}) \in [0, 1)^{s+t}, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots \tag{8}
\]

We establish an upper bound on the discrepancy of this hybrid sequence by using Lemma 1 with \( b = p \). For this purpose, we employ the same notation as in Section 3. In particular, we put

\[
\mathbf{z}_n = (z_{n+d_1}, \ldots, z_{n+d_t}) \in [0, 1)^t, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots \tag{9}
\]

For \( h \in \mathbb{Z}^s \), a \( t \times k \) matrix \( \mathcal{H} \in C(p)^{t \times k} \), and an integer \( N \geq 1 \), we introduce the exponential sum

\[
E_N(h, \mathcal{H}) := \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e \left( n(h \cdot \alpha) + \frac{1}{p} \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbf{z}_n \right). \tag{10}
\]

**Lemma 4.** Let \( q = p^k \) with a prime \( p \) and an integer \( k \geq 1 \). Let \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^s \), \( h \in \mathbb{Z}^s \), and let the matrix \( \mathcal{H} \in C(p)^{t \times k} \) be nonzero. Then for the exponential sum \( E_N(h, \mathcal{H}) \) in (10) we have

\[
|E_N(h, \mathcal{H})| = O_t \left( 2^{(k-1)\frac{t+k-2}{2}+k^2 N^1/2 q^{1/4} (1 + \log p)^{k/2} } \right) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq N \leq q.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k\} \) be the ordered basis of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) over \( \mathbb{F}_p \) as in Section 2 and let \( \{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k\} \) be its dual basis. Then it is well known (see [10, p. 58]) that the coefficients \( c_{n,l} \) in (2) can be represented as

\[
c_{n,l} = \text{Tr}(\sigma_l \gamma_n),
\]

where \( \text{Tr} \) denotes the trace function from \( \mathbb{F}_q \) to \( \mathbb{F}_p \). Now for a nonzero matrix \( \mathcal{H} = (h_{j,l}) \in C(p)^{t \times k} \) and a point \( \mathbf{z}_n \) in (9), we obtain by the \( \mathbb{F}_p \)-linearity of the
trace that
\[
e \left( \frac{1}{p} \mathcal{H} \otimes z_n \right) = e \left( \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^{t} \sum_{l=1}^{k} h_{j,l} c_{n+d_j,l} \right)
= e \left( \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^{t} \sum_{l=1}^{k} h_{j,l} \text{Tr}(\sigma_l \gamma_{n+d_j}) \right)
= e \left( \frac{1}{p} \text{Tr} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{t} \sum_{l=1}^{k} h_{j,l} \sigma_l \gamma_{n+d_j} \right) \right)
= \chi \left( \sum_{j=1}^{t} \mu_j \gamma_{n+d_j} \right),
\]
where \( \chi \) is the canonical additive character of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) and
\[
\mu_j = \sum_{l=1}^{k} h_{j,l} \sigma_l \in \mathbb{F}_q \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq t.
\]
Since the matrix \( \mathcal{H} \) is nonzero, the elements \( \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_t \) are not all 0. By what we have just shown, we can write
\[
E_N(h, \mathcal{H}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(n(h \cdot \alpha)) \chi \left( \sum_{j=1}^{t} \mu_j \gamma_{n+d_j} \right).
\]
Then for \( 1 \leq N \leq q \) we obtain
\[
|E_N(h, \mathcal{H})|^2 = \sum_{m,n=0}^{N-1} e((m-n)(h \cdot \alpha)) \chi \left( \sum_{j=1}^{t} \mu_j (\gamma_{m+d_j} - \gamma_{n+d_j}) \right)
\leq N + 2 \sum_{m,n=0}^{N-1} e((m-n)(h \cdot \alpha)) \chi \left( \sum_{j=1}^{t} \mu_j (\gamma_{m+d_j} - \gamma_{n+d_j}) \right)
= N + 2 \sum_{d=1}^{N-1-N-1-d} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(d(h \cdot \alpha)) \chi \left( \sum_{j=1}^{t} \mu_j (\gamma_{n+d+j} - \gamma_{n+j}) \right)
\leq N + 2 \sum_{d=1}^{N-1-N-1-d} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \chi \left( \sum_{j=1}^{t} \mu_j (\gamma_{n+d+j} - \gamma_{n+j}) \right).
Next we consider the character sum inside the last absolute value bars. Recall that $1 \leq N \leq q$, and so $1 \leq d < q$. Suppose that $d$ is such that $d \equiv d_{j} - d_{\ell} \pmod{q}$ for some $1 \leq j, \ell \leq t$ with $j \neq \ell$. There are $O(t^{2})$ such values of $d$. For these values of $d$, we bound the absolute value of the character sum trivially by $N$. If $d$ is not of the above form, then the absolute value of the character sum is $O_{t} \left( 2^{2(k-1)t+k}Nq^{1/2}(1 + \log p)^{k} \right)$ according to Lemma 3 with $m = 2t$. Altogether, we obtain

$$|E_{N}(h, \mathcal{H})|^{2} \leq N + O_{t}(N) + O_{t} \left( 2^{2(k-1)t+k}Nq^{1/2}(1 + \log p)^{k} \right)$$

which yields the desired result.

Now we use the notion of finite type introduced in Definition 1.

**Theorem 1.** Let $q = p^{k}$ with a prime $p$ and an integer $k \geq 1$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{s}$ be of finite type $\eta$. Then for $1 \leq N \leq q$ the discrepancy $D_{N}$ of the first $N$ terms of the sequence (8) satisfies

$$D_{N} = O_{\alpha, t, \varepsilon} \left( \max \left( N^{-1/((\eta-1)s+1)+\varepsilon}, \quad 2^{(k-1)t+k/2}N^{-1/2}(\log N)^{s}q^{1/4}(\log q)^{t}(1 + \log p)^{k/2} \right) \right)$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$, where the implied constant depends only on $\alpha$, $t$, and $\varepsilon$.

**Proof.** Since the discrepancy bound is trivial for $N = 1$, we can assume that $2 \leq N \leq q$. We apply Lemma 1 with $b = p$ and

$$H = \left[ N^{1/((\eta-1)s+1)} \right].$$

Then $2 \leq H \leq N \leq q$ and

$$D_{N} = O_{s, t} \left( \frac{1}{H} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^{s}, H \leq H} \frac{W_{p}(\mathcal{H})}{r(h)} |E_{N}(h, \mathcal{H})| \right). \quad (11)$$

We first consider the pairs $(h, \mathcal{H})$ in the summation in (11) with $h \neq 0$, $M(h) \leq H$, and $\mathcal{H} = 0$. Then $W_{p}(\mathcal{H}) = 1$ by (4) and (5). Furthermore by (10),

$$E_{N}(h, \mathcal{H}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(n(h \cdot \alpha)).$$
Now fix an \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Then the contribution of these pairs to the sum in (11) is

\[
\sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^s, 0 < M(h) \leq H} r(h)^{-1} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(n(h \cdot \alpha)) \right| = O_{\alpha, \varepsilon} \left( H^{(\eta-1)s+\varepsilon} \right)
\]

\[
= O_{\alpha, \varepsilon} \left( N^{(\eta-1)s/((\eta-1)s+1)+\varepsilon} \right)
\]

(12)

according to Lemma 2.

The remaining pairs \((h, H)\) in the summation in (11) satisfy \(M(h) \leq H\) and \(H \neq 0\). For these pairs we can apply Lemma 4 to obtain

\[
\sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^s, H \in C(p) \times k \atop M(h) \leq H, H \neq 0} \frac{W_p(H)}{r(h)} |E_N(h, H)|
\]

\[
= O_t \left( 2^{(k-1)t+k/2} k^{1/2} N^{1/2} q^{1/4} (1 + \log p)^{k/2} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^s, H \in C(p) \times k \atop M(h) \leq H, H \neq 0} W_p(H) \right).
\]

Now clearly

\[
\sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^s, M(h) \leq H} r(h)^{-1} = O_s \left( (\log H)^s \right) = O_s \left( (\log N)^s \right).
\]

Moreover, by [13, Lemma 3.13] we have

\[
\sum_{H \in C(p) \times k \atop H \neq 0} W_p(H) = O_t \left( (\log q)^t \right),
\]

and so

\[
\sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^s, H \in C(p) \times k \atop M(h) \leq H, H \neq 0} \frac{W_p(H)}{r(h)} |E_N(h, H)|
\]

\[
= O_{s, t} \left( 2^{(k-1)t+k/2} k^{1/2} N^{1/2} (\log N)^s q^{1/4} (\log q)^t (1 + \log p)^{k/2} \right).
\]

By combining this bound with (11) and (12), we complete the proof. \(\square\)

**Corollary 1.** Let \( q = p^k \) with a prime \( p \) and an integer \( k \geq 1 \). Let \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^s \) be of finite type \( \eta = 1 \). Then for \( 2 \leq N \leq q \) the discrepancy \( D_N \) of the first \( N \) terms of the sequence (8) satisfies

\[
D_N = O_{\alpha, t} \left( 2^{(k-1)t+k/2} k^{1/2} N^{-1/2} (\log N)^s q^{1/4} (\log q)^t (1 + \log p)^{k/2} \right)
\]

with an implied constant depending only on \( \alpha \) and \( t \).
Fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^s$ of finite type and the integers $k \geq 1$ and $t \geq 1$. For each prime $p$, choose an integer $N_p$ such that there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ with $C(p^k)^{1/2+\varepsilon} \leq N_p \leq p^k$ for all $p$. Then for each prime $p$, we can consider a corresponding hybrid sequence $(8)$ and the discrepancy $D_{N_p}$ of its first $N_p$ terms. Theorem $1$ implies then that $D_{N_p} \to 0$ as $p \to \infty$, and so in this sense we get asymptotic uniform distribution. In view of the Koksma-Hlawka inequality, the corresponding quasi-Monte Carlo method yields a convergent numerical integration scheme for integrands of bounded variation in the sense of Hardy and Krause.
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